

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,794 12/22/2004		Hidemitsu Takaoka	09852/020258-US0	3060	
7278	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257			TURNER, ARCHENE A		
	, NY 10150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-		1775		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		··							
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			794	TAKAOKA ET AL					
			r	Art Unit					
		Archene	Turner	1775					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	he correspondence ad	ldress				
WHICH - Extension after SD - If NO pe - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MARIONS of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provision of the reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the Set or extended period for reply within the office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e- inication. utory period will apply and v vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply b will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANDo	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this c  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	d on 09 December :	2005						
· —	·	b)⊠ This action is							
/=		<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to the	e merits is				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	n of Claims	,		•					
·		in the application							
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-18</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		e withtrawn from G	msideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-18 is/are rejected.								
·	laim(s) is/are objected to.	ian and/ar alaction	roauiram ont						
0)L_  C	laim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.						
Application	n Papers								
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)□ Ac	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority ur	nder 35 IJ S.C. & 110	9(a)-(d) or (f)					
a)□		or foreign priority at	ide: 55 0.0.0. g 11.	s(a)-(u) or (i).					
,	. Certified copies of the priority d	locumente have be	en received						
	. Certified copies of the priority d			action No					
	. Copies of the certified copies o				Stone				
J.	application from the Internation	• •		eiveu iii tiiis Nationai	Stage				
* 500	e the attached detailed Office action			sived					
366	e the attached detailed Office action	i ioi a list oi tile cen	ined copies not rect	eiveu.					
Attachment(s)			<b></b>	(DTO 110)					
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma						
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				
	o(s)/Mail Date	-	6)	•					
	1.00								

Application/Control Number: 10/519,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1,5-7,8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai ((6,309,738) or Kobe Steel (JP 11-61380) or Sumitomo Electric (JP 07-097679) in view of Hitachi Tool (JP 09-323205) in further view of Sata et al (US 20050129986).

Sakurai or Kobe Steel or Sumitomo Electric disclose the claimed TiAIN alternating layers having the claimed thickness and Al content and the method of making the layers. They do not specifically disclose the addition of Zr, Ce or Y into the layers.

Hitachi Tool discloses the know addition of these components to TiAl compound films, within the claimed content.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the claimed Zr, Ce or Y into the layers of Sakurai or Kobe Steel or Sumitomo Electric, as this addition is known in the coating art to improve the performance of TiAl compound films, as shown by Hitachi Tools.

Sata et al discloses the known technique of varying the metallic components in a film through it thickness.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,794

Art Unit: 1775

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide this variation within a layer as shown by Sata et al to Sakurai or Kobe Steel or Sumitomo Electric in view of Hitachi Tool, as one of ordinary skill would know that it would improve the adherence of the coating.

Page 3

3. Claims 2,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi Materials (JP 11-310867) in view of Hitachi Tool (JP 09-323205) in further view of Sata et al. (US 20050129986).

Sakurai or Kobe Steel or Sumitomo Electric disclose the claimed TiAIN and TiAICN layers having the claimed thickness and AI content and the method of making the layers. They do not specifically disclose the addition of Zr, Ce or Y into the layers.

Hitachi Tool discloses the know addition of these components to TiAl compound films, within the claimed content.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the claimed Zr, Ce or Y into the layers of Mitsubishi Materials, as this addition is known in the coating art to improve the performance of TiAl compound films, as shown by Hitachi Tools.

Sata et al discloses the known technique of varying the metallic components in a film through it thickness.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide this variation within a layer as shown by Sata et al to Mitsubishi Materials in view of

Hitachi Tool as one of ordinary skill would know that it would improve the adherence of the coating.

4. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi Materials (JP 11-310867) in view of Hitachi Tool (JP 09-323205) in further view of Sata et al. (US 20050129986).

Sakurai or Kobe Steel or Sumitomo Electric disclose the claimed TiAIN and TiAICN layers having the claimed thickness and AI content and the method of making the layers. They do not specifically disclose the addition of Zr, Ce or Y into the layers.

Hitachi Tool discloses the know addition of these components to TiAl compound films, within the claimed content.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the claimed Zr, Ce or Y into the layers of Mitsubishi Materials, as this addition is known in the coating art to improve the performance of TiAl compound films, as shown by Hitachi Tools.

Sata et al discloses the known technique of varying the metallic components in a film through it thickness.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide this variation within a layer as shown by Sata et al to Mitsubishi Materials in view of Hitachi Tool as one of ordinary skill would know that it would improve the adherence of the coating.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,794 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2,5-14 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Archene Turner whose new telephone number is (571)

272-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday through

Friday from 10:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Please remember to include on the fax, the art unit 1775, serial number and Examiner's

name.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat Group